

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/671,490	09/29/2003	Kwang Hyo Chung	123034-05004739	123034-05004739 8465		
43569 7	7590 07/17/2006	EXAMINER				
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP			NAGPAU	NAGPAUL, JYOTI		
1909 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1743			
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/671,490	CHUNG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jyoti Nagpaul	1743		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jyoti Nagpaul	1743					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	, main are and period out to are mile						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause .				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	d., . i.e i.e ii					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	ine issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		Mele A	ale				
	YELE	NA GAKH					

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment after final filed on June 30, 2006 has been acknowledged. Applicant has amended the claims that raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The new issues being wherein the stop valves stop the flow of the fluid using the surface tension of the fluid and the flow through the connecting channel opens the stop valve.